1 PROCEEDINGS 2 MR. LEVIN: Good afternoon, your Honor. THE COURT: Good afternoon. Welcome all. 3 MR. AKE: Good afternoon, your Honor. 4 Your Honor, I, I think we had a question. 5 6 procedurally, do you want to do these serially, or do you wish to do them at the same time as our allocutions and 7 recommendations as to both defendants are going to be --8 THE COURT: One at a time. 9 MR. AKE: -- essentially the same. Okay. Yes, your 10 11 Honor. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: The matter coming before this 13 Court is United States of America versus Kirk Yamatani and 14 15 Criminal Action No. 13-CR-0149-CBD. We are here for a 16 sentencing hearing. Will counsel please identify themselves for the 17 18 record? 19 MR. AKE: Good afternoon, your Honor. Adam Ake and Robert Hur for the United States. We're also joined in the 20 courtroom by investigating agents, Special Agent Eric Hathaway 21 of the FBI and Investigator Curt Vaughan from the Department of 22 23 Commerce Inspector General's Office. THE COURT: Thank you. Welcome. 24 25 MR. LEVIN: Good afternoon, your Honor. Steven Levin

```
on behalf of Mr. Yamatani and Mr. Yamatani is seated to my
 1
    left.
 2
             THE COURT: Thank you. Welcome all.
 3
             Give me just a moment.
 4
         (Pause)
 5
 6
             THE COURT: I trust that both parties have received
 7
    copies of the pre-sentence report, is that correct?
             MR. AKE: Yes, your Honor.
 8
 9
             MR. LEVIN:
                         Yes, your Honor
10
             THE COURT: Any modifications or corrections?
11
             MR. AKE: No, your Honor.
12
             THE COURT: Okay.
             Since this matter comes to me by way of a guilty plea,
13
    I'll hear first from the defense, then I'll hear from counsel
14
15
    for the Government, and then I'll give Mr. Yamatani final
    opportunity to speak.
16
17
             Counsel.
18
             MR. LEVIN: Thank you, your Honor.
             I, I would point out that, that the first page of the
19
20
    pre-sentence report indicates that the report was prepared for
    the Honorable C. Bruce Anderson.
21
22
             THE COURT: Yes.
23
             MR. LEVIN: I just didn't want you to get short
    shrift, your Honor --
24
```

THE COURT: Oh.

1 MR. LEVIN: -- so.

2 | THE COURT: It's all right.

3 MR. LEVIN: May I stand to the podium?

4 THE COURT: By all means.

MR. LEVIN: Thank you.

Your Honor, today is, obviously, a very difficult day.

My understanding from conversations with Judges is that

sentencing is, perhaps, the most difficult aspect of, of your

position. I would imagine your Honor's no different in that

regard. It's a difficult day for Mr. Yamatani as well. Even

before walking into this courtroom, your Honor, Mr. Yamatani -
and I'm, I'm so used to having previously referred to him as,

as Special Agent Yamatani -- but now Mr. Yamatani has lost so

much. He lost his career. He lost his reputation. He lost a

great deal of money. He's lost his future retirement from

Government service and today when Mr. Yamatani leaves this

courtroom he will have lost a little bit more and that is a

certain degree of his liberties will have been taken away from

him and that is a reference to probation, your Honor.

The Government believes probation's appropriate, the probation officer believes probation is appropriate, and, frankly, your Honor, Mr. Yamatani agreed in his plea agreement and we agreed that probation is appropriate. Really, the only issue is whether or not Mr. Yamatani needs to be punished even further with any imposition of home detention. And, and,

really, that's what I want to focus on, your Honor, because I
want to bring to the Court's attention some collateral
consequences of home detention that, in all fairness to the
Government, I just don't think, I know they're recommending
home detention and I don't think they're aware of some of these
collateral consequences that would result.

And really, if you think about what, what's the purpose of home detention, it's, it's either deterrence or punishment, or both. I don't think anyone believes that Mr. Yamatani needs to be further deterred with all of the other things he has lost and our position is that he doesn't need to be further punished and home detention would be a sentence, an imposition that is greater than necessary to achieve justice.

Your Honor, because of this situation which

Mr. Yamatani has acknowledged he, he caused for himself and,
and his family, Mrs. Yamatani had to go back to work. Prior to
that, she was caring for their 8-year-old daughter and 7, now
7-year-old son, but because of this situation and the loss of,
of income, Mr., Mrs. Yamatani has gone back to work in an
effort to make some money. I'm sure your Honor read the presentence report carefully and, and saw that they now have a
negative monthly cash flow and even with Mrs. Yamatani working
that remains the case.

So Mrs. Yamatani is working. Mr. Yamatani is now the primary caretaker for the two children and just to demonstrate

how, how much of a, how much of an impact home detention would have on the, on the family, the next few months, looking at just this summer, your Honor, the Yamatani children have plans to attend various camps for kids. They have gymnastics, They have a Bible camp later this month and because soccer. Mrs. Yamatani is working it would fall on Mr. Yamatani to take the children to the camps and pick them up from the camps. would cause a great deal of, of chaos, both financial and emotional chaos if Mr. Yamatani could not take the children to

And going beyond that, when school starts in August the children do not have bus transportation. Even though they attend public school, because of their location they can't rely on public transportation. And so, again, it would fall on Mr. Yamatani to take the children to school at approximately 7:30 and pick them up daily at approximately 2:30, plus as children get sick it would fall on Mr. Yamatani to take the children to various medical appointments as well as afterschool activities.

camp. It's really punishing the children for what Mr. Yamatani

has done.

So while the family is trying to recoup from all the financial losses here, it would just be much more difficult if Mr. Yamatani isn't given the liberty to care for his family on a day-to-day basis, your Honor.

At the same time, Mr. Yamatani is trying to contribute

financially to his family and so he's trying to, to rebuild a 1 I think the pre-sentence report discusses a, an 2 business. Atlanta-based studio business that Mr. Yamatani started several 3 years ago. He continues to try to make some money doing that 4 and, perhaps, expand it and that's going to require a great 5 deal of, of time meeting with potential investors and clients 6 7 and again, home detention would just sink the Yamatani family into a greater hole. That's really just simply greater than 8 necessary in light of the, of the fine he's agreed to pay, the 9 restitution he's agreed to pay, the fact that he, he did 10 11 resign, giving up a career and a potential retirement.

Your Honor, they're trying to stay in their home. The situation has made it very difficult. Home detention would likely make that impossible.

Mr. Yamatani is trying to save his marriage and that may be extremely difficult if he's at home making it more burdensome for Mrs. Yamatani to take care of the children, the house, the finances basically on her own.

So you can imagine, one can imagine the resentment that would be created there.

Your Honor, in light of that, we would ask that probation, straight probation, while appropriate, is also all that is necessary in this particular case.

Thank you, your Honor.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. AKE: Your Honor, the Government is recommending, and consistent with what Probation recommended, we're recommending that the Court impose a sentence of two years probation with a six-month home detention component, although the Court is certainly free to defer that for a few months until the end of the summer if the Court's swayed by the defendant's arguments about the, the hardship that that would place on the, the minor children in the household before school started back up.

The Government's also recommending that the Court impose the agreed-upon restitution of \$14,000 and fine of \$28,000.

The recommendation that probation only be two years is somewhat contingent, your Honor, on, on the time, time limits of the defendant's satisfaction of the financial aspects of the, the sentence imposed.

So in the plea agreement the defendants had agreed to a longer term of probation if the Court allowed a payment schedule, for instance, but so long as they make all the payments within that two-year period the Government's satisfied that its interests are vindicated in terms of the financial aspects.

What, what we don't want to happen is that probation expires while there's still outstanding monies to be paid, or financial aspects of the judgment that hadn't yet been

satisfied and that the Government then loses its ability to use the, the fact that the defendant is still on probation and the threat of a violation of probation to help compel those payments. But as long as the Court imposes, or it makes clear that the financial aspects are to be payable immediately or within one month, as Probation is recommending, and as long as the, the defendant satisfies that, the Government feels that two years probation is adequate, but not greater than necessary to reflect the seriousness of the offense.

Now turning to the, the one year that's really, as, as between the two parties before you, at issue is the length of any home detention aspect of probation the Court may impose here. I'd really ask the Court to weigh heavily the first two aspects of 3553(a) and those are really the nature and circumstances of the offense and the history and characteristics of the defendant and the need for the sentence imposed to reflect the seriousness of the offense.

Now here, clearly, the, the defendant appears before this Court in a much different position that most defendants that appear for federal sentencing in that he has an unblemished criminal history, but it's really the fact that the defendant occupied a significant position of trust within the Government and was employed as a, a law enforcement officer that makes this offense much more serious than, perhaps, the, the financial totals involved would counsel at first blush.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It's, it's because the defendant was a special agent and was tasked with investigating, in part, some of the crimes that he engaged in, time and attendance fraud, travel voucher fraud, within his agency and then committed those acts himself that it really makes this a significant offense and makes the Government so interested in, in seeking prosecution for that act. And then in deterring others who are also law enforcement, that even if they, if they stray from the straight and narrow, that that's going to result in pretty significant consequences and not just in the loss of a job, but also in a potential loss of liberty and we think that weighing all those factors between the seriousness of the offense and the need to keep other law enforcement agents on their, in their, you know, in the, in the mindset that they're going to be held to a higher standard does counsel for some restriction on Mr. Yamatani's freedom and probation by itself, while it does require close coordination with a supervising probation officer and, you know, making periodic reports and occasionally having meetings, that doesn't impose nearly the same restriction on liberty that the period of home confinement would. But, on the other hand, that, in turn, is nowhere near the restriction on one's liberty that a period of incarceration would entail. So I think it does strike the balance. A limited period of home detention of six months does strike the balance between recognizing that the defendant doesn't have a criminal

history, that he is a first-time offender, but at the same time 1 that this is very serious because of the position he occupied 2 within the Government within his agency. 3 So the Government feels that that is the perfect 4 disposition in this case. We'd ask the Court to impose that 5 6 sentence as part of the period of probation that it does 7 impose, assuming that that's what the Court's going to impose. Does the Court have any questions for the Government, 8 your Honor? 9 10 THE COURT: No. 11 MR. AKE: Okay. Thank you, your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you. 12 Mr. Yamatani, before I impose the disposition, you 13 have the right to say anything you wish to say, but before 14 15 doing so I'm going to ask you to stand and raise your right hand. We're going to place you under oath. 16 17 (Defendant Yamatani sworn) 18 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Will you please pull the mike up so we can get you on record? 19 20 (Defendant Yamatani complies) THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Will you please state your name 21 for the record, sir? 22 23 DEFENDANT YAMATANI: Kirk Yamatani. THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Thank you, sir. 24

THE COURT: You may be seated.

25

```
What, if anything, would you like to say? What, if
 1
    anything, would you like to say?
 2
             DEFENDANT YAMATANI: Thank you, your Honor.
 3
             I'd like to take this opportunity to first apologize
 4
    to the Court for my poor judgment and irresponsible actions.
 5
             I'm also deeply sorry for the grave embarrassment and
 6
 7
    loss and emotional suffering I've caused my wife and children.
    I've embarrassed myself in front of my community, all of my
 8
    peers, and my entire network of colleagues. I've thrown away
 9
    14 years of a wonderful career and I'll never get it back and
10
11
    whereas I aspired one day to leave behind a positive legacy of,
    of work accomplishments, that is all, essentially, been
12
13
    entirely ruined.
             I promise I'll, I'll never again exercise such poor
14
15
    judgment and I've learned an incredibly valuable lesson. And
    again, I'm just, I'm deeply sorry.
16
             And thank you for the opportunity, your Honor.
17
18
             THE COURT: Okay.
             Is your wife here?
19
             DEFENDANT YAMATANI: No, your Honor. She's working.
20
             THE COURT: Why did you do this?
21
             DEFENDANT YAMATANI: I thought I had sought the
22
23
    proper --
             MR. LEVIN: May I have a moment, your Honor?
24
25
             THE COURT:
                         Sure.
```

```
MR. LEVIN: Thank you.
 1
 2
        (Pause)
             DEFENDANT YAMATANI: I have, I have no excuse, your
 3
    Honor. I acted inappropriately.
 4
             THE COURT: Why did you do it?
 5
             DEFENDANT YAMATANI: I made a, a selfish,
 6
 7
    irresponsible decision and a very poor judgment call.
    now understand that it was entirely the wrong thing to do.
 8
             THE COURT: Tell me about your education.
 9
             DEFENDANT YAMATANI: I went to American University in
10
11
    Washington, D.C. I got my bachelor's and my master's degree at
    American in a major called Law and Society, which is,
12
13
    essentially, a criminal justice study and unfortunately, now,
    you know, I'll have to re-create some, a career, as my
14
15
    education is, unfortunately, I've thrown that away as well,
16
    your Honor.
17
             THE COURT: So you were trained in the field of
18
    Criminal Justice by education?
19
             DEFENDANT YAMATANI: Yes, your Honor.
             THE COURT: And tell me about your job as a special
20
    agent. What did you do?
21
             DEFENDANT YAMATANI: I investigated allegations of
22
23
    waste, fraud, and abuse for the Department of Commerce.
24
             THE COURT: So you've got experience in investigating
    fraud and abuse?
25
```

DEFENDANT YAMATANI: Yes, your Honor. 1 THE COURT: What did you do here? 2 DEFENDANT YAMATANI: I made poor decisions which 3 resulted in putting incorrect information on forms which 4 resulted in losses to the Government that I am responsible for. 5 THE COURT: Am I wrong in assuming that it was your 6 job to pursue and to prosecute people who did the very things 7 you did? 8 DEFENDANT YAMATANI: In, in part, your Honor, yes. 9 10 Yes. 11 THE COURT: So according to this pre-sentence report you filled out forms on at least three occasions suggesting 12 13 that you were entitled to thousands of dollars, tens of thousands of dollars over a good period of time, a couple of 14 15 months. That has a ring of complicity to it, aside from any involvement with your co-defendant, but it has a ring of, of 16 insistence to it. If I understand correctly, you've been 17 18 viewed to be one who has obstructed justice on this, is that, 19 is that incorrect? DEFENDANT YAMATANI: No, your Honor. 20 THE COURT: I'm not trying to browbeat you. I'm just 21 trying to understand a person of your experience, your 22 23 training, your job skills, your role as a special agent, how you developed this idea of getting money that you weren't 24

entitled to and according to this, when confronted or

25

questioned you basically were fighting to the mat, I assume telling a few folks that they were wrong, there's no way.

What caused this change of heart?

DEFENDANT YAMATANI: I came to recognize that my, my former belief was incorrect when given the full circumstances.

So I wanted to take responsibility for any actions on, on my behalf.

(Pause)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Mr. Yamatani, your counsel opened with a very wise point. The most difficult aspect of my job is sentencing, sentencing a criminal defendant, your prima, and you know, I know, the lawyer knows, everybody knows that you violated trusts, confidence, oath of office, constitutional oath of office. You were a special agent. You were living the life, good life, six-figure income, family, and basically, your counsel's point is that home detention does you no good, you've been punished enough, it's not necessary. He's spoken about your wife and how your wife now has additional hardship due to your resignation. I don't have any paperwork, but I suspect it's a resignation under fire. You were about to be fired because you got caught stealing. You might as well have had a mask because you stuck up the Government. Might as well have had a qun. It wasn't a violent crime, but it was crime only made possible due to the place of trust that you occupied. This is tantamount to political corruption which this Court has

seen plenty of. You were a person clothed with the uniform, with the office, with the badge.

Your counsel has talked about the difficulty, the burden that would be placed upon your kids, their needs, going back and forth to camp and to school and to appointments. He's right. And while I've asked you why -- I haven't heard you say why -- what would motivate a man in that position to do the things that you did and put all of that in jeopardy. It's between you and God.

And your counsel is right. He says home detention may make saving your home, at least the structure of your home, an impossibility. He's of the view that "probation is all that's necessary," close quote. And he got some help along the way. The Government agrees that probation is a helpful thing, but the Government comes to that conclusion because they want your money. They wanted your cooperation. They have a fear of not being able to collect all the monies they believe they are due. That's not my concern and to the extent that the Government doesn't collect what it's due, they can have a deficiency judgment that they can pursue you as they could pursue anyone else, whether you pay something in a timely fashion or not.

I'm stuck in a different place, pure and simple.

Punishment. White-collar crime. When I have defendants before me that have gone into a Walmart or Sears and they filled up their shopping cart with a bunch of goodies and they steal, if

they steal too many things or if they steal something that's 1 too much in terms of value, they go to jail. You're no 2 In fact, your conduct is worse than theirs in 3 several ways due to your position, due to your knowledge, due 4 to your means, due to your access, due to your breach of trust. 5 No, I will not impose home detention. You will not be 6 7 on probation just for the sake of probation. You're facing jail time. 8 You are sentenced to the Department, Bureau of Prisons 9 for a period of eight months. You must pay restitution in the 10 11 amount of \$14,000, a fine in the amount of \$28,000, special 12 assessment of \$25. And after you get out of jail you will be 13 under court supervision for an additional period of one year as a condition of supervised release. 14 15 I wish you well. That's a price. Court will be in recess. 16 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise. 17 18 MR. LEVIN: Your Honor, we would ask for a selfsurrender date. 19 20 THE COURT: No, sir. Your Honor? 21 MR. LEVIN: 22 COURT SECURITY OFFICER: Step back. 23 May I, may I be heard, your Honor? MR. LEVIN: COURT SECURITY OFFICER: Step back. 24

THE COURT: He'll be in the custody of the U. S.

25

```
Marshal.
 1
 2
             I'm sorry.
                         What?
             MR. LEVIN:
                         May I be heard, your Honor?
 3
             THE COURT:
                         Oh, sure. I'm listening.
 4
             MR. LEVIN:
                         Thank you.
 5
 6
             THE COURT:
                         Go right ahead.
 7
             MR. LEVIN: Clearly, no one was expecting this, your
    Honor, and we have simply not made any preparations.
 8
    Mr. Yamatani drove here today. His wife is at work.
 9
    There's -- and I understand what the Court has said, but we
10
11
    would ask for a self-surrender date, which is not at all
    uncommon in white-collar cases, especially in this situation
12
13
    when nobody has made any preparations for, for confinement.
    And that's not to criticize the Court for its sentence.
14
                                                              It's
15
    just under the terms of the plea agreement we expected
    probation.
16
             And so I would ask the Court at least consider over
17
18
    the next few minutes a self-surrender date so that we can make
19
    the, the necessary preparations.
20
             THE COURT: Your request is a reasonable one and one
    that is not uncommon. And you're right. Oftentimes in this
21
    courthouse, probably the majority of times in this courthouse,
22
23
    that is what happens. I tend to think that we treat white-
```

collar criminals too special and there are times when there is a request for self-surrender, even in the street-criminal kind

1	of cases. In both instances, I am of the view that this is the
2	day of reckoning. Whenever a sentencing is scheduled, that is
3	the day to be prepared.
4	I don't think your request is unreasonable. I think
5	it's very measured, but I must respectfully disagree. No self-
6	surrenders.
7	THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise. This Honorable Court
8	stands in recess.
9	(Proceedings concluded at 3:07 p.m.)
10	
11	
12	
13	CERTIFICATE
14	I, court approved transcriber, certify that the
15	foregoing is a correct transcript from the official electronic
16	sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled
17	matter.
18	/s/ Janice Russell June 25, 2013
19	Janice Russell, Transcriber Date
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	